PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. MALLY - TIME GROUP 1 Apr 07 0/04302	2. LOCATION South Wellington, Texas
s. Mource Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Other (PHYCHOLOGICAL)
One One	
LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS
20 minutes	Observer stated that the object was cylindrical with round nose. Color: light aluminum and grey. Object had a light 20 inches in diameter which was accased at the nose of this object and emitted a soft Plocescent type boun.  Defit the select project of the proj
. Type of Observation Ground Visual	
South	
FI Yes Xi No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN : 50846

Pg 5 of 5

DBJECT WAS ABOUT FOUR FEET FROM THE GROUND AND TWENTY INCHES IN DIAMETER. WHILE PARKED THE LIGHT WAS WHITE WITH A FLUOR SCENT QUALITY.

AS THE OBJECT BEGAN TO MOVE, THE LIGHT TURNED TO A REDD ISH COLOR.

DRAWINGS OF THE OBJECT AND THE INTERIOR COMPARTMENT WERE OBTAINED

FROM AND ARE AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST. K. LT COL KENNETH H. HALLMARK

PAGE 5 RUNTBIAB 166 UNCLAS

CHIEF, SAFETY DIVISION, AREA CODE 485, OFFICE PHONE 418, HOME 482-7774.

ASSISTED BY LT ROBERT W. NICHOLSON JR, FV 3 175 934 CHIEF, INFORMATION

DIVISION. BOTH 115AW ALTUS AFB OKLA. PERSONNEL IN WELLINGTON, TEXAS

STATED THAT MR. WAS A RELIABLE AND STABLE INDIVIDUAL. HE HAS

RESIDED IN THIS VICINITY FOR ALL OF HIS LIFE WITH THE EXCEPTION OF

ONE YEAR. CONCLUSIVE FINDINGS AND CAUSE ARE UNDETERMINED.

AFHQ JAN 53 0-309C

NNNN

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN: 2089 (18 Apr 67) R/bw

Pg 1 of 3

ACTION: RDC-4 INFO: XOP-1, XOPX-2, SAFOS-3, NIN-7, DIA/JCS-1 (19)

SMB A260RTTU JAW R UNTBIA0542 1081941-UUUU--R UED HQA. ADV CY DIA

ZNR UUUUU

R 1818457 APR 67

FM 11 SAW ALTUS AFB OKLA

TO RUWM FYA/AD C

RUNTEBA/3 1ST AIR DEFENSE DIV OKLAHOMA CITY AFS OKLA

RUED FIF/FTD WPAFB OH!O

RUED HOA/ CSAF

RUED HOA/ OSAF

BT

UNCLAS DS 02545, APR 67° FTD FOR TOETR, CSAF FOR AFRDC, OSAF FOR SAF-01, SUBJECT: UFO. REPORTED IAW AFR 80-17 AND FORMAT THERE-TO. A. DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT: (1) EGG SHAPED BUT SLIGHTLY FLATTER ON THE BOTTOM. (2) APPROXIMATELY 30 FEET LONG (3) GRAY ALUMINUM COLOR (4) ONE IN NUMBER (5) WHILE IN FLIGHT WAS JOINED AND BECAME ATTACHED TO ANOTHER VEHICLE. (6) THE INTERIOR OF THE ATTACHED VEH-ICLE LOOKED FAMILIAR TO MR WATTS AND WAS APPARENTLY THE SAME OBJECT AS DESCRIBED IN ALTUS AFB MESSAGE DS 02544, APRIL 67. (7) NO TAIL, TRAIL OR EXHAUST. (8) NO DISCERNIBLE SOUND. (9) A TAPE RECORDING OF THE COMPLETE EVENT AS NARRATED BY MR WILL BE

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN: 2089

Pg 2 of 3

FORWARDED TO FTD (TDET/UFO) WPAFB, OHIO, B. DESCRIPTION OF COURSE OF OBJECT: (1) A LIGHT WAS SEEN IN THE REAR VIEW MIRROR OF HIS PAGE 2 RUNTBIA0542 UNCLAS VEHICLE. (2) GROUND LEVEL (3) MR WATTS DROVE FROM THE OBJECT TO BOARDED THE OBJECT AND FLIGHT PATH IS UNKNOWN. (5) HIS HOME (4) MR UNKNOWN (6) ESTIMATED THAT FLIGHT DURATION WAS ONE AND ONE HALF HOURS C. MANNER OF OBSERVATION (1) GROUND VISUAL (2) AND (3) N/A D. TIME AND DATE OF SIGHTING: (1) 0333Z 12 APRIL 1967, 2130CST, (2) NIGHT E. LOCATION OF OBSERVER: THIRTY FOUR DEGREES FORTY THREE AND ONE HALF MINUTES NORTH, ONE HUNDRED DEGREES AND NINE MINUTES WEST, 8 MILES SOUTHWEST OF DODSON, TEXAS. F. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION ON OBSERVER: (1) CIVILIAN - NEW AGE 28, FARMER, WELLINGTON, TEXAS, HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE, APPEARS RELIABLE AND SINCERE, G. WEATHER AND WINDS ALOFT AT TIME AND PLACE OF SIGHT-ING (1) THUNDER STORMS IN VICINITY AND RAINING INTERMITTENTLY (2) SURFACE EAST 110/10 GUSTS 15, 6M FT 220/29, 10M FT 240/33, 16M FT 230/48, 20M FT GARBLED/41, 30M FT 210/GARBLED, 40M FT 180/68, 50M FT 2 10/ GARBLED. (3) CHILDRESS, TEXAS WEATHER ESTIMATED 3M FT BROKEN. 10M FT OVERCAST (4) VISIBILITY 15. (5) SEE (3). (6) THUNDER STORMS THROUGH NORTH MOVING NORTHEAST, LIGHTNING IN CLOUDS, CLOUD TO CLOUD

AFH0 141 0-309C

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH
INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN: 2089

Pg 3 of 3

AND CLOUD TO GROUND. (7) VERTICAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT - SURFACE 4M

FT PLUS 1 DEGREE/1M FT, 4M - 13.5M FT MINUS 1.9 DEGREES/1M FT, 13.5M

- 14M FT MINUS 10 DEGREES/1M FT, 14 M-17M FT MINUS 1 DEGREE/1M FT,

PAGE 3 RUWTB1A0542 UNCLAS

17M-18M FT MINUS 3 DEGREES/1M FT, 18M-23M FT MINUS 1.9 DEGREES/1M FT, 23M-42M FT MINUS 2.4 DEGREES/1M FT. H. NONE THAT IS KNOWN. I. N/A.

J. NONE THAT IS KNOWN. K. LT COL KENNETH H. HALLMARK, CHIEF

SAFETY DIVISION. 11 SAW ALTUS AFB OKLA. 73521, AREA CODE 405, OFFICE

PHONE EXT 418, HOME PHONE 482-7774. THIS IS THE THIRD REPORT FROM

THIS INDIVIDUAL. THE FIRST WAS A VISUAL SIGHTING, THE SECOND WAS

AN ACTUAL CONTACT WITH AN OBJECT AS REPORTED BY 11SAW MESSAGE DS

02544 APRIL 1967 AND THIS REPORT IN WHICH HE DESCRIBES TAKING AN

ACTUAL FLIGHT AND SUBMITTING TO A PHYSICAL EXAMINATION. CONCLUSIVE

FINDINGS AND CAUSE ARE UNDETERMINED.

BT

NNNN

AFHO AND 0-309C

1.

Lt. Col. Hector Quintanilla Page 6

half minutes. Followup should have been made to determine there were other potential witnesses. Since it occurre the night on December 28, it is possible that there were no witnesses available. Must be carried as unidentified, where witness.

15. 12 August 1965, Ramona, California.

One witness, duration of sighting only 15 seconds.

was a 67 year old lady. Sighting occurred at 9p.m. day, saving time in the middle of August, and thus the sky should still have been reasonably bright. Witness said sighting of arred at night and sky very blue. Perhaps she thinks that anything after 6 p.m. is night. Must be listed as unknown (meager data, single witness, very short duration).

16. 26 February 1966, Bartlett, New Hampshire

I have in my files for 26 February 1966, Manchester, New Hampshire. I do not seem to find a Bartlett, New Hampshire case. See attached supplemental sheet A.

17. 17 July 1966, Centerville, Ohio.

Temporarily not in file. Will study this case when I visit Dayton.

18. 9 February 1967, Odessa, Delaware.

Since by an admitted breakdown in communications the Dover Air Force Base delayed some five months in investigating this case, all I have is the NICAP report. Evaluation pending my getting a copy of the Air Force investigation of same. Based on NICAP report, the sighting is obviously unidentified.

19. 12 February 1967, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

I have only the card on this case, but judging from it there seems to be a possibility that this one-witness case was caused by low-flying birds reflecting light. The sighting lasted 4 to 10 seconds, and witness mentions a high-pitched chirping noise.

20. 1 April 1967, Wellington or Loco, Texas.

I had a great personal involvement in this case, much of it

independent of official Project Blue Book business. The common was very involved and I feel must be classified as psychological and hoax unless other data turns up to change this, which I turn is unlikely.

In synopsis form: because of my interest in any purposed photograph, I called an all of Wellington, Texas, and had a long taped interview with him. He sounded simple and straightforward, in fact so simple that I feel a complicated contrived hoax would be beyond his capabilities. The plane were sent up and examined by Mr. The hand me. The sent we did not spend too much time in any detailed analysis. The were no reference marks, for instance, which made it imposses to judge distances, etc., and the object photographed could very well have been a small object at very close range.

Wellington and getting a rundown on the The shear the described him as living, with his wife, in an isolated, section of Texas, as a cotton farmer, and as a man that how knowledge of photography whatever. The sheriff voluntees information that - to make a long story short - the man not too bright, and from his standpoint alone a photography hoax seemed unlikely. He volunteered, however, that if a how were involved, Mrs. Would be the more likely engineer of such a hoax.

Shortly thereafter two reporters from Amarillo called. Apparently the story had leaked and the had told them the he would have no story for them until he had confirmation of the pictures from me. This, of course, he never got. I told in reporters how I felt, but that they might have a good sto the perpetration of a hoax if they looked into it. This did, and following my suggestion, had a lie detector test adminis red to Mr. This he flunked and he was generally discredited in the papers, as is well known. I then had a phone call a commercial artist from his ranch some distance from both Amarillo and Wellington, to tell me that he had earlier become interested in this case, and himself had hypnotised Mr. in order, as he put it, to get more information, and to see whether the information given under hypnosis was the same as given consciously by Mr. This unsolicited phone call surprised me but I considered it just another one of the oddball things in this oddball case.

Shortly thereafter, I learned that Mr. had started that the whole thing was a hoax, that he had been put up under hypnosis by this very artist-hypnotist who had called up some days earlier. The story then went something like who.

The hypnotist had appeared out of a clear sky (maybe, shouldn't use that expression in this context!), had give the set of pictures, one of which included the head of the purported occupants of the craft), and under hypnomad given Mr. It is story he was to tell later. By his time. I wanted nothing further to do with the case, but I wasn't of the hook yet. One of the original reporters called up, quite incensed, and asked whether I couldn't get the Air Force to prosecute this hypnotist for unethical practices, etc., for having made a dupe of the land subjected him to public ridicule. I told him that first of all the Air Force did not prosecute in such cases, and that furthermore in such I wanted it is the injured party who brings suit and that I hardly it is that the Air Force or I had been injured by the purported in sociat's actions.

This would seemingly have ended it, except that I remarked that the hypnotist when he had first called me, had mentio just in passing that he had done some work with the physics department at the University of Colorado (no connection what ever with the Condon Committee), and had mentioned a dealer. .... it so happens that the took his Master's Degree with me a good many years ago at Ohio State University. I thought a phone call to him might be in order. This I did and was surprised to learn that the hypnotist (whose name I do not recall at the moment, but the entire story is on tapes which I can have my secretary transcribe if needed) has a daughter that had worked for the in his laboratory for the past year or so, and that he himself had visited the studio and home of the artist and only part-time hypnotist. He had found him a thoroughly honorable and upstanding citizen. could not conceive that this man could have perpetrated such a large and made such a dupe of Mr. In fact, he considered in probable in the extreme.

I relayed this information to the reporters, largely out of a sense of duty, since they were rapidly losing interest in the case. But the sequel to this was that the then changed his scory once again. He now stated that he had flunked the lie detector cest on purpose, but on his way to take the lie detector test, his car had been accosted by two men who scuffed him up and warned him that if he passed the lie detector test, he would never make it home alive. I do not know whether it is possible to deliberately flunk a rie detector test, but the fact remains that he did.

The puzzling thing about the entire case is how Mr. Came into possession of the rather remarkable color polaroid photographs. What were the circumstances under which the photographs were taken, and how were they contrived? Somebody went to a fair amount of trouble, but why? I questioned both the sheriff and asked the reporters to find out also, whether Mr. We was ever known to have bought photographic supplies in the local stores, and whether he knew anything about photography himself. The reply to this as far as could be determined, was negative. If one had the time, this would make a very interesting, but not terribly significant detective story. I would suggest it as a psychology master's degree thesis!

It should be pointed out that the sighting was by no means the only case reported in that area. There followed quite a spate of reports, apparently all through the year, and even as late as November 3, 1967, when a second made a typical close encounter, luminous body sighting. "It was big enough to drive a car in", a said, "it was shaped like a cigar - one end was round."

I believe there is a moral to be drawn from the Wellington case. Had the Altus Air Force Base reacted promptly and done a good investigation on this case, very early in the game, and in particular, had found out how Mr. We had come into possession of said pictures, a lot of later trouble could have been saved. We still don't know how the pictures came into being.

## 21. 2 April 1967, Shively, Kentucky.

The evaluation "possible aircraft" seems okay. The sighting fits a pattern of the unusual, but we do not know how much the young boys "read into" what might have been a perfectly ordinary sighting. They are familiar with UFO literature and could have given a highly preferential interpretation to their sighting. The sighting was of short duration and the telescopic sighting was 20 seconds or less, hardly enough time to make a cold appraisal at a time of high excitament. Proper investigation at the local level could have easily determined how much of this was interpretation and how much was fact.

## 22. 3 April 1967, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

The evaluation "aircraft" on this is ludicrous, if any credence whatever is given to the sanity and reliability of the observer. If it was an aircraft, then it created in the mind of the observer an entire fantasy, because as the observer stated, "there is no object I've ever seen similar to this object I saw. Or could even compare to it."

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN : 50846 (6 Apr 67) A/wkt

Pg 1 of 5

ACTION: RDC-4 INFO: XOP-1, XOPX-2, SAFOS-3, NIN-7, DIA-1 (19) ADV CY RDC & DIA

SMB B2877TU JAN RUNTB IA 9166 9969102-UUUU-R LED HQA.

ZIR UUUUU

R 969930Z APR 67

FM 11 SAW ALTUS AFB OKLA

TO RUMMEVA/ADC

RUWTEBA/31ST AIR DEFENCE DIV OKLAHOMA CITY AFS OKLA

RUEDFIF/FTD WPAFB OHIO

RUEDHQA/CSAF

RUEDHQA/OSAF

BT

FOR SAF-OI, SUBJECT: UFO. REPORTED IAW AFR 89-17 AND FORMAT THERETO. A DESCRIPTION OF THE OBJECT: (1) SHAPE WAS CYLINDRICAL WITH
FOUND NOSE. 02) SIZE APPROXIMATELY 100 FEET IN LENGTH, 8-10 FT HIGH
AND TEN (10) FT WIDE. (3) LIGHT ALWINUM OR GREY COLOR THAT DID NOT
REFLECT LIGHT (4) ONE (5) N/A (6) ESTIMATED TO BE APPROXIMATELY ONE
FOOT OFF THE GROUND. (7) NO TAIL, TRAIL OR EXHAUST OBSERVED (8) NO
SOUND (9) A LIGHT TWENTY INCHES IN DIAMETER WAS LOCATED ON THE NOSE
OF THIS OBJECT AND EMITTED A SOFT FLUORESCENT TYPE BEAM. B. DESCRIP-

AFHQ AND 0-309C

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN : 50846

Pg 2 of 5

FOURTH OF A MILE OFF THE ROAD ON A SMALL SIDE ROAD . (2) ON SIDE ROAD . (3) OBJECT REMAINED IN HIS SIGHT UNTIL OBSERVER DEPARTED FOR HIS PACE 2 RUNTBIA 0 166 UNCLAS HOME. (4) REMAINED NEAR THE CROUND AND MOVED APPROXIMATELY ONE-HALF TO ONE MILE SOUTH. (5) DID NOT DISAPPEAR. (6) APPROXIMATELY TWENTY MINUTES. C. MANNER OF OBSERVATION: (1) GROUND, VISUAL. (2) N/A (3) N/A. D. TIMEDAND DATE OF SIGHTING: (1) APPROXIMATELY 04302, 1 APR 67 (1939 CST) (2) NIGHT . E . LOCATION OF OBSERVER , 34 DEGREES 42 MINUTES NORTH, ONE HUNDRED DEGREES ELEVEN MINUTES WEST. 10N.M. SOUTH WELL ING-TON, TEXAS, F. (1) AGE 28, FARMER, HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION, APPEARED RELIABLE AND STABLE. PEOPLE CONTACTED IN WELL INGION, TEXAS VER IF IED HIS RELIABILITY. G. WEATHER AND WINDS AL OFT . (1) GOOD, (2) SURFACE 188/10, 64 FT 250/23, 104 FT 230/33, 104 FT 230/5%, 20M FT 260/68, 30M FT 220/92, 50M FT 250/55, (3) CHILDRESS, TEXAS WEATHER CLEAR, VISIBILITY 10, (5) N/A, (6) N/A, (7) VERTICAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT - SURFACE 4M FT 3 DEG/TM FT, 4-13 FT 3 DEG/ TM FT , 13-28 M FT 2 DEG/ TM FT , 28-384 FT 2.2 DEG/ TM FT , 38-45M FT 9.5 DEG/TM FT . H. NONE THAT IS KNOWN I. NONE J. N/A. NARRATIVE DESCRIPTIONS AT APPROXIMATELY 19:30 P.M. , HIS FATHERS HOME AND TRAVELED SOUTH IN THE DIRECTION OF HIS HOME,

AFHQ 1445 0-309C

STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN : 50846

Pg 3 of 5

ONE MILE AWAY. HE NOTICED A LIGHT NEAR AN ABANDONED FARM HOUSE ENROUTE. SINCE THE LAND BELONGED TO HIS UNCLE AND SUSPECTED

PROWLERS, HE LEFT THE ROAD TO EXPLORE IT. THE LIGHT WAS SHINING WEST

PAGE 3 RUNTBIA # 166 UNCLAS

SO AS TO ILLUMINATE THE SIDE OF THE HOUSE. AS HE NEARED THE OBJECT

HE COULD DISTINGUISH THE ABOVE - DESCRIBED FORM. AFTER PARKING

200 FEET EAST OF THE OBJECT, APPROACHED IT ON FOOT AND WALKED

AROUND IT. HE NOTED THAT THE HILL LOOKED LIKE IT WAS MADE OF ALUMINUM, BUT DID NOT REFLECT LIGHT. THE OBJECT WAS ELEVATED ONE FOOT

ABOVE GROUND WITH NO VISIBLE MEANS OF SUPPORT. AT THIS POINT.

THOUGHT THE COBJECT WAS AN EXPERIMENTAL USAF AIR CRAFT. HE NOTICED

THE OUTLINE OF A HATCH AND KNOCKED SHARPLY SEVERAL TIMES. WHEN THE

U-SHAPED DOOR WAS LOWERED FROM THE HILL, HE HEARD THE WHIR OF A MOTOR.

THE DOOR WAS 5 FEET LONG AND 3 FEET WIDE AND CURVED AT THE TOP. STEPS

4 INCHES APART WERE BUILT INTO THE INSIDE OF THE DOOR. COULD

THEN SEE INSIDE THE OBJECT ALTHOUGH HE COULD NOT DETERMINE THE SOURCE

OF ILLUMINATION. HE OBSERVED WHAT HE TOOK TO BE A MAP ON THE OPPOSITE

WALL ONE FOOT ABOVE THE FLOOR. IT WAS A YARD SQUARE, HOR IZONTALLY

AND VERTICALLY LINED AND CONTAINED ONLY SIX OR SEVEN CROOKED LINES

WHICH WATTS THINKS REFRESENTED RIVERS. TO THE LEFT OF THE MAP WAS

AFHQ 344 0-309C

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH
INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN : 50846

Pg 4 of 5

A CONSOLE PANEL THAT REACHED FROM THE FLOOR TO MEARLY THE CEILING WHICH CONTAINED ONE KNOB AND ONE TOGGLE SWITCH. ABOVE THIS PANEL WERE FOUR GAUGES WHICH SEEMED TO BE LIGHTED. THE FLOOR WAS FLAT. AFTER THE DOOR OPENED, WHEARD A VOICE BUT SAW NO ONE. HE DESCRIBED PAGE 4 RUNTBIA # 166 UNCLAS

THE VOICE QUADITY AS MECHANICAL AND LACKING ANY INFLECTION. HE WAS ASKED TO BOARD THE CRAFT TO SUBMIT TO A QUOTE STRENUOUS PHYSICAL UNQUOTE. HE ASKED WHY THE PHYSICAL WAS NECESSARY AND WAS INFORMED THAT IT WAS A PREREQUISITE TO A FLIGHT IN THE OBJECT. HE WAS TOLD

THAT IT WAS A PREREQUISITE TO A FLIGHT IN THE OBJECT. HE WAS TOLD
THAT ONLY THE MOST HEALTHY MEN COULD PASS THE PHYSICAL AND THAT
OTHER MEN HAD PREVIOUSLY FLOWN ABOARD THE OBJECT. REPORTED THAT
HE WAS REPEATEDLY ASKED TO BOARD THE OBJECT FOR THE PURPOSE OF TAKING
A PHYSICAL THE WAS ALSO INFORMED THAT THE CRAFT HOUSED A MACHINE
WHICH, WHEN WITHIN 300 YARDS OF A HOUSE, COULD DETERMINE THE NUMBER
OF OCCUPANTS AND THE IR AGES. WHEN THE VOICE AGAIN ASKED

BOARD THE CRAFT FOR A PHYSICAL, HE REPORTS THAT HE BECAME FRIGHTENED
AND LEFT TO RETURN TO HIS TRUCK. HE THEN DROVE TOWARD THE OBJECT,
PASSED IT AND TURNED SOUTH. AFTER PASSING THE OBJECT HE OBSERVED
IN HIS REARY IEW MIRROR THAT IT LIFTED ABOUT THREE OR FOUR FEET, TURNED
SOUTH AND FLEW CLOSE TO THE GROUND. THE LIGHT IN THE FRONT OF THE